



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,701	04/17/2001	Xiaodong Li	005158.P007X	9152
7590 01/25/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael J. Mallie			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP				
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025		2686	1
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/837,701	LI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Joy K Contee	2686
Pariod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Faill Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a or within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matt	·
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 29-47</u> is/are pending in the ada) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-26,29-47</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachmer	nt(s)		
2) Notice (3) Information (2) Notice (3) Information (2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 2686

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In light of Applicant's amendment to independent claim 26, which emphasizes a base station including a variation detector, Examiner points to Baum et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,478), col. 23, lines 42-48, which teaches that the SC-OFDM receiver unit could be in the base unit or subscriber unit.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 26 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baum et al. (Baum), U.S. Patent No. 5,867,478.

Regarding claim 26, Baum discloses an apparatus comprising: a subscriber (col. col. 5,lines 63-66); a base station including a subcarrier allocator (i.e., inherent to SC-OFDM) and a variation detector (reads on SF-OFDM receiver unit in base unit), the base station being communicatively coupled to the subscriber (col. 5,lines 37-61 and

Art Unit: 2686

col. 7,lines 40-43 and col. 23, lines 42-47); a variation detector to detect channel variation, wherein the subcarrier allocator allocates either one or more diversity clusters of subcarriers (col. 17,lines 8-22) or one or more coherence clusters of subcarriers to the subscriber based on results of channel variation detection by the variation detector (col. 3,lines 15-26 and col. 5,lines 37-61).

Regarding claim 29, Baum discloses the apparatus defined in claim 26 wherein the variation detector measures channel variation periodically (i.e., monitors symbols over a period of time) for each cluster (col.14,lines 24-40)

Regarding claim 30, Buam discloses the apparatus defined in claim 26 wherein the variation detector measures SINR values periodically for each cluster (col. 14,lines 24-40).

Regarding claim 31, Baum discloses the apparatus defined in claim 26 wherein the variation detector measures a power difference (i.e., reads on delay) between pilot symbols for each cluster and average the difference (i.e., reads on phase difference due to symbol timing phase) over a window of time slots (col. 19,lines 3-26).

Regarding claim 32, Baum discloses the apparatus defined in claim 31 wherein the window of time slots comprises a moving window of time slots (col. 19, lines 3-26).

Regarding claim 33, Baum discloses the apparatus defined in claim 32 wherein the window of time slots comprises four time slots (col. 14, lines 13-18).

### Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 2686

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1,11 and 34 (thus the dependents 2-10,12-25 and 35-47,respectively) are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,4,5,11,12,18-20,24,25 and 29 of copending Application No. 09/837,337 (Patent Application Pub. No. 2003/0169681).

Independent claim 1 of the instant application claims allocating at least one diversity cluster of subcarriers to a first subscriber; and allocation at least one coherence cluster to a second subscriber. Independent claims 11 and 34 of the instant application claim determining whether a subscriber is mobile or fixed; allocating at least one diversity cluster of subcarriers to the subscriber if the subscriber is mobile and allocating at least one coherence cluster if the subscriber is fixed. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of claims 1,2 and 5 of the instant application encompass the scope of claims 1,4,5,11,12,18-20,24,25 and 29 of copending Application No. 09/837,337.

Art Unit: 2686

In comparison, claims 1,4,5,11,12,18-20,24,25 and 29 of Application No. 09/837,337 disclose the allocating at least one cluster in one or more groups of clusters selected by a subcarrier for use with a subscriber (see independent claim 1). Claims 4 and 5, of 09/837,337 claim wherein the clusters in each of the plurality of groups are spaced apart over bandwidth (i.e., reads on coherency) and spaced apart farther than coherent bandwidth (i.e. reads on diversity) of each channel between a base station and the subscriber. Claims 18-20, claim wherein the candidate clusters desired for use are a set of all possible clusters with SINRs relatively higher than the other clusters (i.e., inherent to diversity cluster that is spaced apart). Further, Application No. 09/738,086 claims wherein the subscriber has a fixed association with the at least one group of clusters (i.e., analogous to wherein the second subscriber comprises a fixed subscriber, claim 2 of instant application) (see claim 11 of 09/837,337). The primary difference between the two sets of claims is that 09/837,337 does not specifically state allocating at least one diversity cluster and at least one coherence cluster, for respective subscriber one and two. However, 09/837,337 suggests in the claims both diversity and coherent clusters (see claims 4,5 and 18-20, as shown above).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the invention defined in the claims 1-25, and 34-47 in issue are an obvious variation of the invention defined in a claims 1,4,5,11,12,18-20,24,25 and 29 in copending Application No. 09/738,086.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2686

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on M (alternating), T & Th, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2686

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joy Contee

1/22/05

Marsha D. Banks-Harold SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600